## PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL ONES

LAW OFFICES LIMITED LEADELITY PARTNERSELE NEW YORK, NY LOS ANGELES, CA SAN FRANCISCO, CA WILMINGTON, DE

780 JHIKO AVENUE 3eth FLOOR NEW YORK NEW YORK 10017-2024

1520716N1. 272/F61 7700 ESCHMER: 212 561 7777

LOS ANGELES TOTOR SANCE WONDOWNERS 1.th Clook LOSIANCELES CALIFORNIA, 90 % T-4300


TELEPHONE, 316/177 6930 TACHMER NUMBER OF COMME

SUNTRAINCERCE 15'- CALIFORNYASTREST 150.00 3.55 SAN TRANCISKÓ CALIFORNIL, MID: 4500 TELLISIONE ALEJECS TOUC DACHARLE 415, 250 TALL

DILAWARI OF NORTH L'ARKE STREE 176. N.DOM BO BOX STOR WLMINGGO DELAWARE INSULATE (Carrie 7.5 Cale 5831)

TELEPHONE 300,650 4100 PALSONICO 302 1872 - 416

## MEMO ENDORSED




Robert J. Feinstein

October 1, 2007

rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com 212.561.7710

## **BY HAND**

The Honorable Deborah A. Batts United States District Judge United States District Court United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 2510 New York, New York 10010



Veyance Technologies, Inc. v. Dana Corporation, et al. Case No. 07-CV-06169 (DAB)

Dear Judge Batts:

This firm represents the appellees (the "Appellees") in the above-referenced appeal from an order of Judge Burton R. Lifland, United States Bankruptcy Judge, denying a request by appellant ("Appellant") Veyance Technologies, Inc. for an order enlarging time pursuant to Rule 9006(b) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure to deem as timely filed its prepetition administrative expense claims pursuant to Section 503(b)(9) of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code").

Pursuant to Section II.C of Your Honor's Individual Practices, I am writing on behalf of Appellees to respectfully request a ten page enlargement of the twenty-five page limit for opening briefs. The appeal concerns a new provision of the Bankruptcy Code, and many issues have been raised in connection therewith. Appellees submit that the additional ten pages are necessary in order to properly develop these issues before this Court.

We have consulted with counsel for the Appellant who has indicated that it has no objection to the extension of the page limit. In addition, we have advised counsel for the Appellant that Appellees will not object should Appellant seek an enlargement of the page limit for its reply.



LIMITED LEASELT VEARTNERSHIP

The Honorable Deborah A. Batts October 1, 2007 Page 2

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted.

Robert J. Feinstein

RJF/kgb

cc: Alan M. Koschik, Esq., Counsel for Appellant (by

telecopier)

Joshua J. Angel, Esq., Counsel for Appellant (by telecopier)

SO ORDERED

Leborah Q. Batts

DEBORAH A. BATTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE